



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,329	09/08/2003	David William Moss II		5286
7590	09/07/2004		EXAMINER	
David W. Moss II 3979 N. Olive Avenue Crystal River, FL 34428				MCKINNON, TERRELL L
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
		3743		

DATE MAILED: 09/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/657,329	MOSS, DAVID WILLIAM
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Terrell L Mckinnon	3743

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 July 2004.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on 09/08/2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

 a) All b) Some * c) None of:

 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: _____.

Response to Amendment

Receipt is acknowledged of applicant's amendment filed July 12, 2004. Claim 14 has been canceled without prejudice. Claims 1-18 are pending and an action on the merits is as follows.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Specification

The amendment filed July 12, 2004 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: the limitations of claims 14 and 15. "The heat dissipating stand device of comprising two or more outward extending projecting extension co-planar with the planar member, positioned to support the bottom of said lap-top computer, one or more outward-projecting extensions co-planar with the planar member are rectangular in shape and inserted into slots on said planar member".

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the limitations of

claims 14 and 15 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1, 3, 12 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Janik et al. (U.S. 6,256,193).

Janik discloses a vertical docking and positioning apparatus for cooling portable computers comprising all of the applicant's claimed and disclosed limitations (see Figs. 17 and 18).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 2, 5-11, 13 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Janik et al. (U.S. 6,256,193) in view of Dale (U.S. 4,073,460).

Janik's invention discloses all of the claimed limitations from above except for vinyl covers on the bottom points of contact of the stand device with a surface below the device, particularly on the front bottom edge of the planar member, and on the lowest projecting aspects of at least one retractable leg; the conductive member being substantially comprised of aluminum alloy designated as 6061-T6; and the planar member being substantially comprised of a material with a specific thermal conductivity between 50 and 300 W/m*K.

5. However, Dale teaches the use of supports (30 and 34) on the bottom points of contact of the stand device with a surface below the device, particularly on the front bottom edge of the planar member, and on the lowest projecting aspects of at least one leg.

Given the teachings of Dale, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the cooling stand of Janik with vinyl covers on the bottom points of contact of the stand device with a surface below the device, particularly on the front bottom edge of the planar member, and on the lowest projecting aspects of at least one retractable leg; the conductive member being substantially comprised of aluminum alloy designated as 6061-T6; and the planar member being substantially comprised of a material with a specific thermal conductivity between 50 and 300 W/m*K.

Doing so would provide an excellent heat conducting material and means of supporting the cooling device against horizontal movement.

6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Janik et al. (U.S. 6,256,193) in view of Dale (U.S. 4,073,460) as applied to claims above, and further in view of Kohama (JP 200-353028).

Janik's invention discloses all of the claimed limitations from above except for four voids formed in the shape of long spaced apart slots extending in a linear front-to-back direction on the heat conductive planar member being spaced apart by at least one width of one of the slots.

7. However, Kohama teaches the use of four voids (spaced apart by at least one width of one of the slots formed in the shape of long spaced apart slots extending in a linear front-to-back direction on a heat conductive planar member.

Given the teachings of Kohama, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to further modify the cooling computer stand of Janik with four voids spaced apart by at least one width of one of the slots formed in the shape of long spaced apart slots extending in a linear front-to-back direction on a heat conductive planar member.

Doing so would provide enhanced dissipation of heat from the planar conductive member.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 14, 15 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Terrell L Mckinnon whose telephone number is 703-305-0059. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Bennett can be reached on 308-0101. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only

For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Terrell L. Mckinnon
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3743
May 3, 2004